

1 Muriel B. Kaplan, Esq. (SBN 124607)
Michele R. Stafford, Esq. (SBN 172509)
2 SALTZMAN & JOHNSON LAW CORPORATION
120 Howard Street, Suite 520
3 San Francisco, CA 94105
(415) 882-7900
4 (415) 882-9287 – Facsimile
mkaplan@sjlawcorp.com
5 mstafford@sjlawcorp.com

6 Attorneys for Plaintiff
BAY AREA PAINTERS AND TAPERS
7 PENSION FUND, et al.

8
9
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12

13 BAY AREA PAINTERS AND TAPERS Case No.: C07-3344 PJH
PENSION FUND, BAY AREA PAINTERS
14 AND TAPERS HEALTH FUND, BAY AREA **NOTICE OF RELATED CASE**
PAINTERS AND TAPERS JOINT
15 APPRENTICESHIP TRAINING FUNDS,
AND THEIR JOINT BOARDS OF
16 TRUSTEES; LES PROTEAU AND CHARLES
DEL MONTE, AS TRUSTEES; AND
17 DISTRICT COUNCIL 16 OF THE
INTERNATIONAL UNION OF PAINTERS
18 AND ALLIED TRADES;

19 Plaintiffs,

20 v.

21 CRYSTAL NICOLE THOMAS, individually
and dba CALIFORNIA PROFESSIONAL
22 PAINTING,

23 Defendants.
24

25 Pursuant to California Federal Rules of Court, Local Rule 3-12, plaintiffs herein give this
26 notice of a related case previously filed:

27 ///
28

1 1. On July 28, 2006, Case No. C06-4626 WHA was filed. That case was also filed by
2 the above-named plaintiffs against the above-named defendants Crystal Nicole Thomas,
3 individually and dba California Professional Painting.

4 2. The current case are brought on behalf of the same Trust Funds, Boards of
5 Trustees, with the exception of Fred Inman who has since retired and has been replaced by Les
6 Proteau, and named trustee plaintiffs, against the same defendants Crystal Nicole Thomas,
7 individually and dba California Professional Painting. This case is also in pursuit of collection of
8 delinquent and unpaid contributions due to the plaintiff employee benefit trust funds, to which
9 defendants are obligated by the same collective bargaining agreement to contribute. The
10 substantive difference between the cases is only the periods of time for which contributions are
11 claimed, and the amounts due.

12 3. The prior case was voluntarily dismissed by plaintiffs on August 9, 2006 upon
13 payment by defendants of amounts claimed in that action.

14 4. Plaintiffs believe that the assignment of the present case to the same judge (the
15 Honorable William H. Alsup) would save judicial effort and promote judicial economy due to
16 Judge Alsup's familiarity with the parties and the issues.

17
18
19
20 Dated: June 25, 2007

SALTZMAN & JOHNSON LAW CORPORATION

21
22 By: _____/s/_____
23 Michele R. Stafford
24 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
25
26
27
28